Paper 21 26 February 2008

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Patent Interference No. 105,434

Before: SCHAFER, TORCZON, and MOORE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

TORCZON, Administrative Patent Judge.

## JUDGMENT

In view of the adverse decision on junior party priority showing, <sup>1</sup> it is—

ORDERED that judgment be entered against the junior party for the subject matter of count 1;<sup>2</sup>

--

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Paper 20, Decision on Priority.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Paper 1, Declaration, at 3.

FURTHER ORDERED that claims 1-19 of the junior party's involved 10/103.480 application be FINALLY REFUSED;<sup>3</sup>

FURTHER ORDERED that claims 1-48 of the junior party's involved 6.183,959 patent be CANCELED:<sup>4</sup>

FURTHER ORDERED that claims 1-39 of the junior party's involved 6,448,009 patent be CANCELED;<sup>5</sup> and

FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this judgment be entered in the administrative records of the involved patents and applications.

cc:

Daniel A. Boehnen and Patrick G. Gattari, MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF, of Chicago, Illinois, for Sirna Therapeutics, Inc.

Kenneth A. Weber, TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP, of San Francisco, California, for Immusol, Inc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 35 U.S.C. § 135(a).

 $<sup>^4</sup>$  Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *Id*.